

Minutes Executive Committee EASSW

Cologne, 7- 9 November 2017

Chaired by Nino Žganec

Venue: *Technische Hochschule Köln - University of Technology, Arts, Sciences Cologne -
- Faculty of Applied Social Sciences Uberring 48 - 50678 Köln/Cologne*

Participants: Ines Amaro (IA); Andres Arias Astray (AAS); Teresa Bertotti, (TB), Marion Laging (MLa), Florin Lazar (FL); Marika Lotko (MLo), Aila-Leena Matthies (ALM); Raymond Kloppenburg (RK), Christos Panagiotopoulos (CP); Ronny Heikki Tikkanen (RT), Nino Zganec (NZ), Hakan Acar (HA – 7th afternoon),

Sandra Mendes (SM)

Absent justified: Robert Bergougnan (RB);

Notes taken by: AAS; MLo, MLa; HA

TUESDAY, November 7, 2017

9.00 – 12.00

Sandra Mendes, from Portugal, is introduced as new administrator, replacing Mrs Trentin-Campbell who resigned in August, 2017

1. Minutes from the Paris meeting: The minutes are officially approved.

2. Agenda for Koln meeting: The agenda is approved .

3. Update on activities since last EC meeting

After discussion is approved that EC member will report their activities once per year, in December. Individual reports will refer those issues directly connected with EASSW scopes, not referring to normal teaching and academic roles.

Task groups will report about their activities linked with the main goals of the Strategic Plan before each EC meeting.

3.1 Reports from President and other members

The president informs about his activities in relation to the Paris Conference and regarding the involvement in IASSW. Other issues are discussed in the agenda.

FL report about the conference of the Association of Schools of Social work from Romania, with many participants and the support of the IASSW president Anna Maria Campanini.

AAS refers the Ibero-American Conference held in Mérida with more than 1.000 participants. Other members' activities can be found in the member reports

3.2 Information about the new statute:

RK refers about the finalisation of the new Statute, that was approved by the General Assembly and about the process of registration in the Netherlands. Small changes have been done to adapt the Statute to Dutch regulations. November 22nd, 2017 the Statute in the Dutch language will be officially signed by NZ and RK and registered by the Notary at the Dutch Chamber of Commerce. The new statute shall then be published on the EASSW website.

The Statute will be completed by specific bylaws referring to single issues.

3.3 Memorandum of understanding (MoU) with Ensact:

RK refers about the activities with Ensact and the Memorandum of Understanding. MoU was drafted and circulated during the summer among EASSW EC members. During the last ENSACT meeting in Utrecht (Netherlands) chaired by RK, due to the unclear future perspectives, Ensact board decided to suspend the process of signing the MoU and reconsider the situation after the next European Observatory is finalized. The next meeting will be held in March, 2018, in Lund. The network partners decided not to continue with the presidency but to chair the meeting by rotation.

RK ask for a change in the role of representative of Eassw: Marika Lotko and Hakan Acar were interested in this position. Together they decided to nominate ML. In order to support Ensact activities, EC members will **stimulate schools in participating to the Global Observatory of good practices**, sending projects and experiences. It could be linked to the initiatives connected with the Social Work day.

4. Financial overview:

Members discusses the EASSW finance overview proposed by the new treasurer. The document includes the provisional budget for 2018 and summary of the 2015, 2016 & 2017 budgets. The bank balance at October 2017 is 136.089 €. The overall result of the financial year 2017 will be calculated at the end of the year but the expectation is positive because the results of the Paris conference (+ 9.552 €).

The budget for 2018 is discussed: as revenues 37.500 € is planned, made of membership fees. Regarding the forecasting of expenses, as general perspective, members agree that expenses would be related with the functioning of the association and the pursuing of activities connected with the strategic plan (communication, regional meetings, the book).

Some specific changes are proposed: regarding solidarity fund (1.500€), increase of meeting expenses (1000 instead of 500), regional meetings (5000€), EASSW publication (5000€). For small projects 12.000€ is confirmed.

It is also decided to establish more clear regulation and policies regarding some specific items as:

- Solidarity fund for EC members (who, when and why has the right to apply)? How many times can it happened? How much)
- Support and sponsorships of regional events

Officers take the duty to outline the draft of bylaws for these topics before next EC meeting.

The budget is approved, provided that the Treasure will present the final budget for 2018, considering the activity plans of the task groups, before next EC meeting

5. Membership overview

5.1 overlook about members and regional coordinators

The Secretary presents an overview of members, divided by countries (as in attachment n.1). Eassw counts 323 school members, divided in 42 countries. A list of member schools, updated by the administrator to October 2017 with all data, including the payment of annual subscriptions, is circulated, for EC members information (less than one third of members missed to pay 2017 fee). Payment should be done before March. Problems with registration are discussed: double way of payment is always possible (via invoice or via website) and an advice will be sent in December by the administrator.

An effort is needed to reinforce and clarify the use of website: **NZ with RT and SM will check and provide.**

EC Members also agree for a stronger role of the 'regional' coordinator, also accordingly to strategies provided by task group. The list of the coordinator is reviewed as in attachment n.2. Their name will change in 'country coordinators'.

Task Group n. 1 will develop the strategy and tools to promote and coordinate activities regarding memberships (see below). Among other, a template of a letter to be send to actual and potential members that regional coordinators can use locally as well as promotion materials was suggested. Regional events are another way to promote the association.

5.2. membership's fees

Regulations about membership fees is discussed. Besides A (200€) and B (100€) countries (and invidual fee-30€), Eassw used to have group memberships (150€). The new Statute doesn't give the legal bases for this reduction anymore. Therefore, it is decided that from 2019 the system of reduced fees for groups will be terminated. It is still possible to maintain groups registration. Schools will be informed that 2018 will be the last year they will pay a reduced fee of 150.

6. Forming of new Election committee

A new election committee is needed. The secretary presents the duties and the criteria for the election of new committee. Ines Amaro (IA), Marika Lotko (MLo), Marion Laging (MLa) and Andrés Arias (AAS) accept to be the Election Committee and will decide who will be the chair. The administrator and the secretary will send all needed information.

7. Special issue of Social work education

TB and NZ informs about the special issue of the Social Work Education International Journal (SWEIJ) dedicated to the Paris conference. 64 abstracts were received, among which 22 were selected, after a double review process, with a third review in case of disagreement. Three main criteria were used: quality of presentation, coverage of main streams of the conference, cross national interest (not the state of the art of specific countries). Full papers will be presented 1st of March. **TB, NZ** and John Ward (from Unaforis) will be the guest editors. Accordingly, to the agreements with Hugh McLaughling (the journal director), 10 or

12 papers will be published in the special issue and the others will be published in the regular issues, if accepted.

Since the process of reviewing the full paper will start, it was agreed with the Journal that EASSW Unaforis will integrate the Journal panel of reviewers. All EC members, except those who are involved in writing full paper (IA, RK), accept to be part of the reviewers' panel.

8. Presentation and discussion about web site

Sandra Mendes and Ronny Tikkanen present the website, underlining its interactive character and the need to stimulate members to participate. All EC members agree that a common effort is needed to foster members participation. The **specific task group will develop a strategy** and all Task Groups should refer to the website for news and update. Need to show benefits that members have in using the website.

Support to facilitate registration will be soon provided by RT and SM, instructions are already there.

9. Reflections on the Paris conference: all EC members

TB reports main results provided by Unaforis and drawn from the survey. Summarising 1029 persons, belonging to 41 countries took part to the conference in Paris. 432 contributions were presented, 265 parallel sessions, 45 workshops and 19 symposia were held, accordingly the four main streams of the conference (Social work pedagogies, Contents Curricula, ethics and structural conditions). Beyond the four streams, as in Milano conference, contributions covered two main areas: one related to methods in social work education and another one related to social work interventions. This duplicity is embedded in the practice nature of social work discipline, and is somehow unavoidable.

An evaluation questionnaire was also sent a month after conference and received 126 answers (next time should be done earlier). All evaluations were very good, in a range of 8 (in a 10-degree scale. Worst points were lunch boxes and cultural event and best points: overall programme of the conference, communication/support before and during conference, possibility of networking; parallel sessions workshops symposium.

Other information come from persons who chaired parallel sessions, just after sessions. 47 answers (out of 265) were received and results were good for the quality of papers and attendance and critical for shortage of time, technical problems and language difficulties for double language sessions. The whole survey is available to those interested.

Beyond the fact that the conference was a success in term of participation, it was highly appreciated and many had with positive feedbacks from personal contacts, after discussion (about evaluation, number of themes ..) there are some suggestion to be considered for the next conference.

- Find the right balance between the effort to be inclusive and cover a wide number of topics on one side and the value of been more selective, going deeper into topic and gaining a higher quality. This affects the selection of the abstracts (quality, topics and numbers).
- With a wide range of contribution participants can choose accordingly to their interests and a big conference is a chance to gain information on actualities in each country in social work education

- Effort in reducing the subdivision of topics, the identification of 4 main themes for the conference was appreciated.
- Keynotes should be well selected and of a very high quality
- providing opportunities for learn new skills and other practical issues i.e. related to Erasmus+ agreements
- To record presentations and use the website to continue the discussion.

The biannual conference is a great opportunity that could be cultivated also during other more smaller events (as workshops or seminars), organized by EASSW members that could be linked to the bigger conference.

10. Discussion about the host of the 2019 conference: President

The president reports the process: public bid for hosting conference in 2020 was made in spring and 3 offers were received; additional questions were sent in August, and the offer from Krakow withdraw from the application, leaving Lisbon and Madrid as the two candidates. As agreed, IA and AAS, as members of the two universities, present the candidacy of their institutions and will abstain from the following discussion and decisions, because of the potential conflict of interest.

After discussion on advantages and disadvantages of the two proposals, the decision widely agreed was toward the Spanish proposal. The 2019 EASSW Conference will be hosted by *Complutense de Madrid* (Spain).

Decision wasn't simple because both countries are experiencing threats on social work profession and education as well as difficulties in being recognized as an academic discipline, and both countries will have great advantages in hosting the conference (for Spain such conference would be for the first time). Both candidates have many similarities with strong networks and connections.

Reasons that brought to this decision mainly refers to the stronger organization presented by the Spanish proposal, and a better exposition of logistics and financial opportunities.

11. Resignement of vice president and questions related to IASSW

The president informs about the resignation of vice-president which came unexpected. Reasons for the resignation have been explained as due to the workload of vice president's new position at the Essex University and other global social work education projects.

Accordingly, the new statute there is no way to elect a vice president unless it is voted by the General Assembly. Vote can be done also electronically. the notary has been expressively consulted and there is no special need to cover immediately the position of vice president.

The discussion considered the possibilities to activate an online election and the effects of having a period of vacancy of the position of vice president (weak image toward the external, internal democracy). After thoroughly debate, with different positions, it is decided to elect new vice-president during next General Assembly in 2019. Reasons are mainly because of the time required to hold all the procedure, that would have anyway brought very near to the normal general assembly. Vice president's tasks will be assigned by the president, mainly considering TB and RK as elected officers.

12. IASSW and IFSW questions and decision about the representatives of EASSW in the board of IASSW President

The president also presents the issues regarding the relation between IASSW and IFSW.

Main disagreements concerned: 1) IFSW decision to held global conference in Calgary in 2020, excluding IASSW and ICSW from the decision-making process, so rejecting the tradition of tripartite conferences and disregarding the established procedures; 2) the IFSW decision to establish a commission aimed at “bridging the gap between education and practice” with the explicit goal of becoming an accreditation body for social work education courses. This commission is chaired by ex EASSW vice-president and was established without any involvement of IASSW in advance. It is observed that the need of a global accreditation was also discussed within Eassw EC meeting in Vilnius, during the setting of the EASSW Strategic plan, although without a unique orientation.

EASSW have right to be represented by 2 representatives at IASSW Board, NZ proposes that TB and RK will represent EASSW in IASSW board. To be noted that IASSW has an executive board - composed by IASSW Officers and the regional presidents - and a larger Board - composed by vice presidents and other representatives of regions.

The proposal is accepted: Raymond Kloppenburg and Teresa Bertotti will represent EASSW in IASSW Board. They both thanks for the trust accorded

The meeting closes at 16.30

WEDNESDAY, November, 8,

9.00 – 17.00

Joint seminar of EASSW and FBTS, “**Faces of Social Work Education in Europe**”: Technische Hochschule Köln - University of Technology, Arts, Sciences Cologne

THURSDAY, November, 9, 2017

Minutes: Executive Committee EASSW

Same Venue

Minute takers: HA; MLa;

9.00 – 12.00

13. Discussion about the preparation of the book “Faces of social work Education in Europe”

Nino Zganec and Marion Laging, as editors of the book presents the main concepts, following the document already sent to EC members and the positive feedback from the FBT conference. The aim of “Face of Social Work Education in Europe” is to present some particular country examples and cases to make visible social work education. It is not intended to compare national developments and country policies because backgrounds are too complex and different.

Walter Lorenz has accepted to write the introductory part.

NZ and MLa open the discussion, which broadly considered the following points.

- The target of the book: students, scholars, practitioners, academics. Broadly the discussion tend to address the book to scholars and social work educators, or advanced students
- The aim of the book. The group debates regarding what can be the aim, the structure and the meaning of this book
 - o Referring to a typology suggested by ALM could be a catalogue, a book with single cases, a comparative book or a conceptual book. First ones will be very easy to publish; other levels take more time. It won't be an handbook. The general idea is that it will be a conceptual book, referring the state of art of social work education in Europe, providing some examples. A pure

comparative book seems too complex due to the extreme diversity among countries.

- other suggestions regarded: through the country examples, conceptualizing the development of social work as discipline, with focus on education (avoiding to go too deeply in social work profession); trying to show the existing diversities under a common theme, as professional autonomy for example. Considering the body of knowledges used; the pedagogical aspects, the politics of social work education; the structure of courses. The global standards on SWE could be a reference point
- The chance of making a survey all over Europe is also discussed and rejected in the light of the time and previous negative experience in similar survey. However, an “inventory” with standardized data could be one activities to be done in the website (i.e. collecting data during the registration process).
- Need to map what other similar book are existing to specify which is the added value
- Who has to be included in writing the chapters? Possible invitation to other countries but there is an issue regarding the time: the plan is to publish the book before the 2019 EASSW conference; therefore, there is not very much time. Also using the small projects funding is a good idea but too time demanding; the same for an open call.
- Some kind of comparison will avoid to summing up too diverse information. Initial and final chapter will show general tendencies
- A questionnaire to analyze the main feature of social work education will be drawn considering the available capacities, not a real extensive survey.

After fruitful discussion, the editors will return the EC members a final proposal considering all suggestion and related deadlines. EC members thanks NZ and ML for their efforts.

14. Partnerships: Council of Europe, collaboration with Unaforis.

14.1 NGO’s representation at the Council of Europe. As NGO, EASSW is part of the INGOs conference, represented at the CoE, through RB as EASSW’s representative. Since EASSW was not very active within that network in the previous years, RB worked hard to demonstrate common goals. He succeeds and CoE supported the Paris conference. RB was asked to candidate as vice - president of the INGOs conference and this implies also financial support. Before deciding, some additional information is needed, addressing what are the specific advantages that EASSW members could draw from this support. NZ will ask him further details: the decision will be taken by online voting immediately after this meeting.

14.2. Collaboration with Unaforis

Unaforis is willing to actively participate to EASSW. There is not specific proposal until now but they will be able to make their proposal as member of the advisory board.

14.3 support to Palestinian students

The university of Liverpool has a project of students exchange with Palestine and asked EASSW to support Palestinian students in their visit to Europe. The support is accepted, with 200€, with a brief report or images to be published in the website.

15. Setting and work of the Task Force Groups (TFG)

15.1 – Members’ opinion about EASSW Strategic pla: results of the first survey - (Marion Laging)

In march – april 2017 a survey was carried out among EASSW members and conference participants to investigate their opinion regarding the new Strategic plan. The respondents were asked to express about the importance and the satisfaction of the goals established. MLa present the main results of the survey. EC members have received the detailed results and tables will be published in the website.

15.2 Rules we want to adopt for TFG (proposal from officers)

TFG are group of work dedicated at developing the goals of the Association, accordingly to the four main goals of the strategic plan. All EC members are required to collaborate actively towards all goals and engage specifically in one TFG. All have a role in management at policy-administrative level as well as technical things.

Since the attribution to TFG made in Paris, after the conference wasn’t completely satisfying, with some confusion about the specific duties to be managed and the overall development strategies, officers propose the following points:

- goal n. 4, related to “internal regulation and procedures” will be held directly by the officers, who will ask for collaboration to single members and task group accordingly to specific topics
- EC member will divide in the first three TFGs, balancing the number of members. This grouping is not rigid nor exclusively and member can agree to change. Each TFG has a coordinator.
- Beside the TFGs there are ‘special committees’ as the election committee or the ‘small projects committee;
- to review the reference of TFG and dedicate next hour to start outlining future main strategies of action

After debate and clarifications, the officers’ proposals are accepted. EC members also thank the officers for taking charge of the goal n. 4 and guarantee their full support.

The new task force group are composed as follows:

- Task Force Group n.1 (Goal Membership): Ronny, Marion, Christos, Florin, Teresa
- TF2 (Knowledge of Social work education): Aila-Leena, Andres, Nino, Ines.
- TF3 (Networks): Hakan, Marika, Raymond, Robert

The special committee for ‘small project funding’ is composed by: Aila Leena Matthies; Marika Lotko; Hakan Acar and Ronny Tikkanen.

After one hour of small group work, during which the new TFGs updated their activities and planned the possible developments, TFGs reports to others as follows.

16. Reports from the group work: joint discussion

16.1 - Group 1 related to Goal 1 of the strategic plan: “To increase the number of SW schools members and to activate the membership”

(RT, MLa, FL, CP, TB) – RT coordinator

The main tool to increase external and internal communication with potential and existing schools and to facilitate participation is the website. First steps need to boost the use of the website.

Website

- **Information to all member schools in a couple of weeks for the payment and registration, after that instructions about the new website (SM)**
- RT will draft a letter (tutorial) that SM will send out
- Regional coordinators will follow-up in January 2018 after 2 -4 weeks
- Regional coordinators will stimulate the schools to deliver news / contents for the website
- CP and FL are responsible to making all the EC-Members feeding the web

Newsletter

The main idea is that **the newsletter is strongly related to the website**. The newsletter will have the same structure / chapters as the website: SM will collect news and contents from the website to fill the newsletter, with TB's support. There will be one or two sentences that introduce / announce the topic, followed by the respective link. NZ will write the introduction.

Survey

The survey responded to subgoal 1.3 of the Roadmap. It is a baseline for continued surveys to collect opinions of our members. It is yet not decided how to shorten the survey (1/3 of the participants who started the survey stopped after the first question). In future meetings, after having analyzed the results, it will be decided whether it is needed subgoals and the importance rate. MLa will analyze sub-questions.

A comprehensive communication strategy has still to be developed in the future. But it is already clear that the regional coordinator should play a strong role in this regard in order to make a stronger relation to the schools. One part of the communication strategy should be a **clear description of the role and tasks of the regional coordinator**.

Also, a **comprehensive membership strategy** will be more clearly developed before the next EC meeting.

16.2 Group 2 related to Goal 2 of the strategic plan: “To improve and strengthen the Knowledge of Social Work Education”

(IA, NZ, ALM, AAS,) – No coordinator named

The TFG considered the following issues

- **Social work day:**

A template of a poster should be created and provided for each member school, blank, empty, with the beginning of sentences, member schools will be invited to fill in, send the picture of their poster to EASSW, we create an European poster out of it, Andres is responsible to work on the template. **Short message about the motto of the Social Work Day** will be created. ALM will provide suggestions for a slogan related to the current topic of the Observatory of the Global Agenda (ENSACT) . The motto will be translated in the respective languages, with students possibly recording a video, send them to EASSW.

This information will be spread by SM directly to the member schools and also via the regional coordinators, additionally a letter will be send by NZ about the social work day to each school.

There is a **need for promotional materials** regarding the social work day: this might be developed by members of group 1.

Social work day in Geneve: NZ will represent EASSW, when the date and program is clarified, NZ will spread information about it and other EC-Members are asked to join

- **Publications:** a “teachers’ room” may be created in the website, filled with respective materials. With TFG 1 can be search the best way to do it, using forums and who is responsible for feeding the section
- **Create a price / award by EASSW** in 3 categories: 1) pedagogical 2) research 3) learning in practice. It may be given for the first time during the conference in Madrid. Regulations and criteria have to be prepared very soon.
- **More publication about specific topics** are to be achieved but not in the nearer future
- Regarding a **special issue of the European Journal of Social Work** after the conference in Madrid: CP will approach European Journal of Social Work to check

16.3 Group 3 related to Goal 3 of the strategic plan : “To increase visibility and influence of the EASSW”

(HA, MLo, RK, RB) – No coordinator named

Generally: a comprehensive network strategy has to be worked out until the next EC-Meeting in Madrid. First step is an inventory of the already existing collaborations and connections – the EC-Member activity-reports can give some useful information in this regard.

Based on this analysis possible gaps should be identified. After that the TFG will prioritize collaborations and connections and define responsibilities.

After having discussed the activities done until now, the group decided to formulate a comprehensive network policy and present this the next EC meeting March 2018. A first investigation was made regarding network, as written below.

HA will write a first draft of the strategy, which we will discuss in a skype meeting at January 12 at 11.00 am (Dutch Time).

Specific Aims of the TFG:

1. Increasing strong networks EC with European organizations
2. Increasing networks between members EASSW

Aim 1 Groups

- International association
- European association
- Professional association

Existing Networks (on individual level of EC members or association level)

- Ensact
- IASSW (present with 3 members in board)
- ESWRA (contact person president)
- European commission, council of Europe NGO (Robert)
- Power Us (Memorandum of Understanding)

Missing networks

- National Associations of Schools of Social Work
- IFSW region Europe (no contact)
- European organization of higher Education

- Tissa
- PhD European organisations
- Nordic network of SWE
- Outside Europe with regions like Africa or China or

Network meetings/activities/events

- WSWD/ UN (with global organisations)
- Advisory Board (not yet existing)
- Ensact Meetings concerning European Observatory

Aim 2 :

- Promote contacts regional coordinator with universities (develop draft letters)
- Promote contacts between universities e.g. around wswd

Purposes and activities for the period 2018-2020.

- Priorities for networks
- criteria for cooperation between EASSW and partners
- template for agreements
- ideas for website and social media
- develop joint events

17. Information about the financing of the small projects

ALM reports the activities and results of the commission “small projects”. The table attached (Attachment n. 3) below summarizes the applications and projects since 2015. One additional dead line was offered in June 2017 for enlarging the number of applications. Three applications were submitted in the additional call in June and regular call in September, and two of them will get funding (Project 8 and Project 9 in the attachment)

18. Next ExCo-Meeting

Will take place in Madrid from 4th March to 7th March. During the 5th or 6th of March a joint seminar (half day) with colleagues from Madrid / Spain should take place.

- 4th of March (Traveling day)
- 5th of March ExCo meeting
- 6th of March ExCo meeting
- 7th of March: ExCo meeting, late Afternoon: traveling

19. AOB

Five new bylaws are to be considered regarding

- advisory board
- solidarity fund
- membership fee
- support and sponsorships of regional events
- use of appropriate web platform for internal communication

No other business is reported. The meeting ends at 17.30

Attachment section is part of the minutes

Minutes fully edited by TB as Secretary in December, 10, 2017

Attachment section

Attachment 1 – List of registered member schools per country - Oct 2017

Attachment 2 – List of country coordinators

Attachment 3 – List of small projects funded

Attachment n. 1 - list of registered member schools - Oct 2017

Country	Members	Country	Members
Albania	1	Lithuania	6
austria	8	Luxembourg	1
Belgium	20	Macedonia	1
Bosnia Herzegovina	2	Malta	1
Bulgaria	3	Moldavia	2
Croatia	1	Netherlands	18
Cyprus	3	Norway	12
Czech Republic	9	Palestine	2
Denmark	6	Poland	10
Estonia	4	Portugal	7
Finland	9	Romania	4
France	26	Russia	5
Georgia	1	serbia	2
Germany	35	Slovakia Republic	7
Greece	3	Slovenia	1
Hungary	6	Spain	19
Iceland	1	Sweden	13
Ireland	3	Switzerland	9
Israel	10	Turkey	5
Italy	11	Ukraine	2
Kosovo	1	United Kingdom	32
Latvia	1	Total	323

Attachment n-2 – list of the country coordinators

Coordinator	countries
Hacan Acar	Turkey
	United Kingdom
Ines Amaro	Portugal
	Armenia
	Poland
Andres Arias Astray	Spain
	Albania
	Andorra
	Kosovo
Bergougnan Robert	Belgium
	France
	Monaco
Bertotti Teresa	Italy
	Malta
	San Marino
	Ireland
Kloppenber Raymond	Netherland
	Czech Republic
	Hungary
	Luxemburg
Florin Lazar	Romenia
	Ukraine
	Moldavia
	Georgia
	Bulgaria
Marika Lotko - Smirnova	Lithuania
	Slovakia
	Estonia
	Latvia
	Belarus

Marion Laging	Austria
	Germany
	Switzerland
	Liechtenstein
Christos Panagiotopolus	Greece
	Cyprus
	Palestina
	Israel
Matthies Aila-Leena	Finland
	Russia
Ronny Tikkanen	Island
	Denmark
	Greenland
	Sweden
	Norway
Nino Zganec	Croatia
	Serbia
	Azerbaijan
	Macedonia
	Montenegro
	Slovenia
	Bósnia



Attachment n. 3 – List of EASSW SMALL PROJECTS

Project Working Group: Aila-Leena Matthies (Chair), Hakan Acar, Clara Cruz Santos, Violeta Gevorgianiena, Marika Lotko 2015 – 2017

Project Working Group: Aila-Leena Matthies (Chair), Hakan Acar, Marika Lotko, Ronny Tikkanen 2017-

Project Title	Applicant	Partners	Duration	Activities	Budget	Status, date
1. Unheard Voices: Developing the East Central European Network for the Prevention of Elder Abuse	Norbert Gerhard Pikula, Pedagogical University of Cracow, PL	1. Univ.Warsaw. PL	01.12.2014-	1. A conference	Total 11 000€	Applied 1.9. 2014
		2. Univ. of Poznan, PL	31.01.2016	2. Publication of conference findings	EASSW 4000€	Accepted 5.11.2014
		3. Univ.of Warmia and Mazury in Olsztyn, PL		3. Establish the East Central European Network for the Prevention of Elder Abuse.		Reported 1.10.2016
		4. Univ. of Ljubljana		4. Develop best practices and training for social workers, policy recommendations and awareness campaign.		Confirmed 21.10.16
		5. Univ. of Ruse, BG				
2. Cross-European Blended Learning of Part-time Students	Felicia Andriotti, Univ. of Petrosan, RO	1. University of Hradec Kralove, CZ	01.05.2014 - 30.07.2015	1. Joint on-line based preparation of the students' pre-tasks	Total 10021€	Applied 15.2.14
		2. Univ. of Lisbon, PT		2. Joint learning week	EASSW 4000€	Accepted 25.4.14
		3. Univ. of Jyväskylä, FI		3. New joint module		Reported 30.8.15
		4. Mykolas Romeris Univ.				Confirmed 11.11.15

<p>3.Social Work and Social Movements in the context of conflict; Educating for peace</p>	<p>Vasilios Ioakimidis, Durham University, UK</p>	<p>1. Dora Teloni, TEI Athens, Greece; 2. Teeside Univ.y, UK 3. Univ. of A.S. Regensburg, GER 4. Univ. of Sarajevo, BA 5. Univ. College Dublin, IR</p>	<p>01.10.15 – 01.10.16</p>	<p>1. Two- day Seminar at Durham University 2. Record seminar sessions 3. Create an online thematic depository http://www.sccnetwork.org</p>	<p>Total 5500€, EASSW 4000€</p>	<p>Applied 1.9.15 Accepted 12.9.15 Reported 26.9.16 Confirmed 7.10.16</p>
<p>4. Re-examining SW Education with Migrants and Refugees in the Balkans: Generalist vs Specialized SW ?</p>	<p>Gordana Berc, University of Zagreb, Croatia</p>	<p>1. Univ.of Skopje, MK 2. Univ. of Prishtina, KO 3. Univ. of Ljubljana, SI 4. Univ. of Sarajevo, BA 5. Sofia University, BG 6. Univ. of Tirana, AL</p>	<p>01.04.2016 – 30.06.2017</p>	<p>1. A seminar on social work with migrants and refugees 2. A two-day seminar with SW work educators 3. A joint publication</p>	<p>Total 7000€, EASSW (4000€) Final: 2763€</p>	<p>Applied 15.2.16 Accepted 9.5.16 Reported 01.11.17 Confirmed 09.11.17</p>
<p>5. Social Entrepreneurship as Signature Social Work Practice: A Collaborative International Curriculum Development Seminar</p>	<p>Eugeniu Rotari, Free International Univ. of Moldova</p>	<p>1.University of Lodz, PL 2.Eotvos Lorand Univ. HU 3. Palacky Univ.Olomouc, CZ 4.St.Elisabeth Univ. of Health and Social Studies, Bratislava, SK</p>	<p>15.03.16 – 03.06.16</p>	<p>1. One-week seminar 2. A model for curriculum</p>	<p>Total 3256€ EASSW3256€?</p>	<p>Applied 16.2.16 Accepted 2.5.2016 Confirmed 12.1.2017</p>
<p>6. Challenges for the SW profession at a time of a global migration crisis: Is a new SW Curriculum</p>	<p>Orit Nuttman-Shwartz, Sapir Collage, Hof Askelon, IL</p>	<p>1.Bar Ilan Univ. IL 2. Frankfurt Univ. of A.S., GER 3. ASH- Berlin, GER 4. Univ. of Ljubljana, SI</p>	<p>01.11.16- 31.07.17</p>	<p>1. International Workshop 2. On-line-based discussion with students of the partners 3. On-line teaching material</p>	<p>Total 8500€ EASSW 4000€</p>	<p>Applied 16.2.16 Accepted 13.6.16 Confirmed 21.6.17</p>

needed?		5. Joanneum Univ. of A.S. Gratz, AT				
7. Developing SW Education to face the needs of multicultural societies and students with immigrant backgrounds	Sanna Lähteinen, Univ. of Lapland	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Univ. of Helsinki, FI 2. Univ. of Eastern Finland, FI 3. Univ. of Jyväskylä, FI 4. Univ. of Tampere, FI 5. Univ. of Turku, FI 6. The Artic Univ. of Norway, NO 7. Univ. of Malmö, SE 8. Univ. of Manitoba, CA 	01.11.16 – 31.12.17	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Data collection on SW students with immigration background at the Universities in Nordic countries and Canada 2. A meeting discussing the results and planning the development of curriculum reflecting the new needs 	Total 7000€ EASSW 4000€	Applied 1.9. 16 Accepted 21.10.16
8. Social Work and Migration	Ralf Roßkopf, Univ. of Applied Sc. Würzburg-Schweinfurt (FHWS),	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Bayar University 2. Univ. of Sussex 3. Univ. of Granada 4. Univ. of Eastern Finland 	01.10.17-30.09.18	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Networking curriculum development, research, staff and student exchange) 2. Two international Weeks 3 Two conferences 4. Evaluation 	Total 11 000€ EASSW 6 000€	Applied 30.6.2017 Accepted 13.9.2017
9. Adult Life Long Learning in Social Work – A European Network for Social Work as Adult Education and Blended Learning	Jorge Ferreira, ISCTE, Univ. Institute Lisbon	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Univ. of Ljubljana 2. Univ. of Petrosani 3. Univ. of Jyvaskyla 4. Univ. College of Ghent 	01.11.2017 – 31.10.2018	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Virtual forum of the network's students and teachers. 2 Virtual classrooms seminars 3. International seminar 4. Publish a book 5. Dissemination 	Total: 15.400€ EASSW 6000€	Applied 01.09.2017 Accepted 06.10. 2017